UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,313	11/14/2005	Jerome Assal	004501-810	9970
²¹⁸³⁹ BUCHANAN.	7590 03/07/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	JEFFERSON, QUOVAUNDA		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/532,313	ASSAL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Quovaunda Jefferson	2823		

		Quertaunida Conorcon	2020
The MAILING	DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 23 Fe	ebruary 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	PR ALLOWANCE.
this application, app places the application a Request for Continuitime periods:	nued Examination (RCE) in complian	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ice with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	
	ply expiresmonths from the mailin		to the second se
no event, howeve Examiner Note: If TWO MONTHS O	r, will the statutory period for reply expire box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or FTHE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 706.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
have been filed is the date founder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calc set forth in (b) above, if chec	culated from: (1) the expiration date of the	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig tr than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as ate of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
2. The Notice of Appearing the Notice of A	al was filed on A brief in compapeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extenses been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	e filed within two months of the date of o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since 37 CFR 41.37(a).
(a) They raise ne	endment(s) filed after a final rejection, w issues that would require further co e issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	onsideration and/or search (see NC	
appeal; and/o			
	additional claims without canceling a		ejecteo ciaims.
	Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
	as overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment (F10L-324).
	amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of approximation how the new or ame	peal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ended claims would be rejected is proaim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER E	from consideration:		
8. The affidavit or other because applicant f	er evidence filed after a final action, be	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered vit or other evidence is necessary and
entered because the showing a good and	er evidence filed after the date of filing e affidavit or other evidence failed to d sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
REQUEST FOR RECONS			·
See Continuation			in condition for allowance because:
	Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (١٥/٥٤/٥٤) Paper No(s)	11/1/10
13. Other:			A CONO
			ANDO L. TOLEDO PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/532,313

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment further limits the scope of the claims, which would require a further search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Upon cursory review, the proposed amendments to claim(s) 1-11 and the arguments filed February 23, 2007 do not clearly place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant's arguments rely upon the proposed amendment, which has not been entered. The proposed amendment would change the scope of claims 1-11, raising new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.